

## THE 2-FOLD PURE EXTENSIONS NEED NOT SPLIT

A. A. ALIJANI

(Received 14 September, 2022)

Abstract. In this paper, we give an example of locally compact abelian groups  $A$  and  $C$  such that  $\text{Pext}^2(C, A) \neq 0$ .

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  denote the category of locally compact abelian (LCA) groups with continuous homomorphisms as morphisms. The torsion subgroup and the first Ulm subgroup of  $G \in \mathcal{L}$  are denoted by  $tG$  and  $G^1$ , respectively. A subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  is called pure if  $nH = H \cap nG$  for all positive integers  $n$ . A morphism is called proper if it is open onto its image. An exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\phi_n} B_n \rightarrow \dots \xrightarrow{\phi_1} B_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_0} C \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  is said to be an  $n$ -fold pure extension if each  $\phi_i$  is a proper morphism and  $\phi_i(B_{i+1})$  is pure in  $B_i$  where  $B_{n+1} = A$  and  $B_0 = C$ . Following [6], we let  $\text{Pext}^n(C, A)$  denote the (discrete) group of  $n$ -fold pure extensions of  $A$  by  $C$ . In [1], an example of groups  $A$  and  $C$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  was given such that  $\text{Pext}^2(C, A) \neq 0$ . In the discussion of this example, the second part of [1, Proposition 8] is used, which is incorrect (see [4]). Let  $B = \prod_p \mathbb{Z}(p)$ , a product over all primes, considered as a discrete group. In this paper we prove that  $\text{Pext}^2(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, tB) \neq 0$ .

The additive topological group of real numbers is denoted by  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}$  is the group of integers and  $\mathbb{Z}(n)$  is the cyclic group of order  $n$ . For any group  $G$  and  $H$ ,  $\text{Hom}(G, H)$  is the group of all continuous homomorphisms from  $G$  to  $H$ , endowed with the compact-open topology. For more on locally compact abelian groups, see [3].

### 2. Preliminaries

An exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\phi_n} B_n \rightarrow \dots \xrightarrow{\phi_1} B_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_0} C \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  is said to be an  $n$ -fold pure extension if each  $\phi_i$  is a proper morphism and  $\phi_i(B_{i+1})$  is pure in  $B_i$  where  $B_{n+1} = A$  and  $B_0 = C$  ([6]).

**Theorem 2.1** ([6]). *The class  $\text{Pext}^n(C, A)$  of all equivalence classes of  $n$ -fold pure extensions of  $A$  by  $C$  forms a (discrete) group.*

The exact sequences (1) and (2) of the following proposition establish a closed connection between  $\text{Hom}$  and  $\text{Pext}$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ .

**Proposition 2.2** ([1]). *Let  $G \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\phi} B \xrightarrow{\psi} C \rightarrow 0$  be a pure extension in  $\mathcal{L}$ . Then the following sequences are exact:*

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification* 20K35, 22B05.

*Key words and phrases:* Locally Compact Abelian Groups;  $n$ -fold Pure Extensions; Extensions.

- (1)  $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(C, G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(B, G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, G) \rightarrow \text{Pext}(C, G) \rightarrow \text{Pext}(B, G) \rightarrow \text{Pext}(A, G) \rightarrow \text{Pext}^2(C, G) \rightarrow \dots$   
(2)  $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(G, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(G, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(G, C) \rightarrow \text{Pext}(G, A) \rightarrow \text{Pext}(G, B) \rightarrow \text{Pext}(G, C) \rightarrow \text{Pext}^2(G, A) \rightarrow \dots$

Recall that an LCA group is said to be have no small subgroups if there is a neighborhood of 0 which contains no nontrivial subgroups ([7]). Moskowitz proved that an LCA group  $G$  has no small subgroups if and only if  $G \cong \mathbb{R}^n \oplus (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^m \oplus D$  where  $n$  and  $m$  are nonnegative integers and  $D$  is a discrete group ([7, Theorem 2.4]).

**Theorem 2.3** ([5, Theorem 2.4(iii)]). *Let  $A$  and  $C$  be groups in  $\mathcal{L}$  such that  $A$  and  $C$  have no small subgroups. Then  $\text{Pext}(C, A) = \text{Ext}(C, A)^1$ .*

**Proposition 2.4** ([2, Proposition 2.17(f)]). *Let  $D$  be a discrete group. Then  $\text{Ext}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, D) \cong D$ .*

### 3. Main Result

Fulp [1] has concluded that  $\text{Pext}^2(G, \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$  for some  $G \in \mathcal{L}$ . For proof of this claim, Fulp used the second part of [1, Proposition 8] which is incorrect, Since there exists a nonsplitting LCA group  $G$  whose torsion subgroup  $tG$  is finite ([4]). Hence,  $tG$  is an example of a discrete, reduced and algebraically compact group that is not pure injective in  $\mathcal{L}$ . In this section, we construct LCA groups  $G$  and  $H$  such that  $\text{Pext}^2(G, H) \neq 0$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *There exist groups  $G$  and  $H$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  such that  $\text{Pext}^2(G, H) \neq 0$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $B = \prod_p \mathbb{Z}(p)$ , a product over all primes, considered as a discrete group. We show that  $B/tB$  is divisible. Let  $x = (x_p) \in B$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $p$  is a prime where  $(p, n) = 1$ , let  $y_p \in \mathbb{Z}(p)$  such that  $ny_p = x_p$ . Otherwise, let  $y_p = 0$ . Let  $y = (y_p)$ . Then  $ny - x \in tB$ . So  $B/tB$  is divisible. Now consider the pure exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow tB \rightarrow B \rightarrow B/tB \rightarrow 0$$

By Proposition 2.2, we have the following exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow \text{Pext}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, B) \rightarrow \text{Pext}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, B/tB) \rightarrow \text{Pext}^2(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, tB) \rightarrow \dots$$

We first show that  $\text{Pext}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, B) = 0$ . By Theorem 2.3 and Proposition 2.4,

$$\text{Pext}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, B) = \bigcap_1^\infty n\text{Ext}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, B) \cong \bigcap_1^\infty nB = B^1$$

Now, we show that  $B^1 = 0$  (and hence  $\text{Pext}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, B) = 0$ ). Suppose  $(x_p) \in B^1$ . Then,  $(x_p) \in nB$  for all positive integers  $n$ . Let  $p$  be an arbitrary prime number. Then, for every positive integer  $n$ , there is  $y_p \in \mathbb{Z}(p)$  such that  $ny_p = x_p$ . Then, for  $n = p$  we have  $x_p = ny_p = 0$ . Since

$$\text{Pext}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, B/tB) = \text{Ext}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, B/tB) \cong B/tB$$

and  $B$  is not torsion, it follows that  $\text{Pext}^2(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, tB) \neq 0$ .  $\square$

### Acknowledgement

The author sincerely thanks the referee for his or her helpful comments and suggestions.

**References**

- [1] R. O. Fulp, *Homological study of purity in locally compact groups*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **21** (1970), 501–512. Doi: 10.1112/plms/s3-21.3.502
- [2] R. O. Fulp and P. A. Griffith, *Extensions of locally compact abelian groups I*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **154** (1971), 341–356. Doi: 10.1090/S0002-9947-1971-99931-0
- [3] E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis Volume 1: Structure of Topological Groups, Integration Theory, Group Representations*, second ed., Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 115, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979. Doi: 10.1007/978-1-4419-8638-2
- [4] J. A. Khan, *The finite torsion subgroup of an LCA group need not split*, Period. Math. Hung. **31** (1995), 43–44. Doi: 10.1007/BF01876352
- [5] P. Loth, *Pure extensions of locally compact abelian groups*, Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova **116** (2006), 31–40.
- [6] S. Mac Lane, *Homology*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 114, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1967. Doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-62029-4
- [7] M. Moskowitz, *Homological algebra in locally compact abelian groups*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **127** (1967), 361–404. Doi: 10.2307/1994421

A. A. Alijani  
Department of Mathematics,  
Technical and Vocational  
University (TVU),  
Tehran,  
Iran  
alijanialiakbar@gmail.com